



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/045,006 01/15/2002		Chien-Jung Chen	CHEN3316/EM	2717	
75	590 01/27/2005	EXAMINER			
Bacon & Thomas 4th Floor	mas		ASHLEY, BOYER DOLINGER		
625 Slaters Lan	ie		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandria, V	A 22314	3724			

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					· ·			
•			Application	ı No.	plicant(s)			
Office Action Comments			10/045,006	;	CHEN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit			
			Boyer D. As		3724			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appe	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this correptore for reply specified above is less than thirty or period for reply is specified above, the maximum or the toreply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. us of 37 CFR 1.136 umunication. (30) days, a reply w statutory period will ly will, by statute, c	o(a). In no even within the statute Il apply and will cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tim ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ation to become ABANDONET	ely filed will be considered time the mailing date of this of	ily. communication.		
Status						•		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <i>11 Mai</i>	rch 2004.					
	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This a		n-final.				
3)□	<u>, </u>							
Disposit	ion of Claims		•					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Applicat	ion Papers							
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 January 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	` '			_				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat) Notice of Informal Pa) Other:	te	D-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/045,006

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I, Subgroup X, and Species I in the reply filed on

11/26/03 and 3/11/04 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and

specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has

been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

2. Claims 8-9 and 11-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37

CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable

generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on

11/26/03 and 3/11/04.

Priority

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which

papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to because the sign "412" on page 7, line 24 is

missing from the drawings. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR

1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the

application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures

appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being

amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as

"amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be

removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/045,006

Art Unit: 3724

be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several

views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be

necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s)

should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so

as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by

the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective

action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in

abeyance.

Specification

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 1,

line 17, the sign "06" should be "96". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

6. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 3 includes a

period in the middle of the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

8. Claims 1-7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as

being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter

which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

In claims 1 and 2, the expressions "may be", "may detect", and "may send a signal" language, e.g., in the phrase "... at least two rolls that may be driven by..." is confusing, in that, it is not clear whether or not the least two rolls are driven.

In claim 2, the expression "a power member" on lines 8 and 9 is confusing, in that, it is not clear if they are the same power member or not. the phrases "... two ends respectively pivoted between the two wall plates ..." is confusing, in that, it is not clear how the rollers pivoted. Shouldn't this be "... two ends respectively rotated between the two wall plates ..."?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claims 1-5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nagel et al., U.S. Patent 4,284,221.

Nagel et al. discloses the same invention as claimed including, e.g., a separation device having: two sets of rolls (5/6 and 7/8) with at least two rolls each positioned between two walls of a frame (see Figure 4), wherein the rolls are driven by a power member (12) at equal speeds (see the abstract). A detection member (16/17) is located between the two sets of rolls for detecting perforations (3) on the web (1a) and sending a signal for increasing the speed of the second set of rolls or decreasing the speed of the first set of rolls such that the web is separated.

Art Unit: 3724

It should be noted that references of the specific workpiece and any attempt to define the invention in terms of the workpiece do not serve to distinguish the claimed invention over the prior art. See MPEP 2114.

As to claim 4, Nagel et al. discloses the use of clutch wheel (column 4, line 5-25).

As to claim 5, the rolls of each set of Nagel et al. are in contact with each other. The phrase "... has a length smaller than that of an elongated hole of the paper towel" as stated above does not serve to distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art because it is in terms of the workpiece.

As to claim 10, although Nagel et al. discloses a workpiece with a sensing mark that is easily detachable, it should be noted that this claim is also in terms of the workpiece and does not serve to distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagel et al., U.S. Patent 4,284,221, in view of Gergek, US Patent Application 2002/0033405.

Nagel et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for one set of the rolls forming a non-single line contact between the rollers, wherein the rollers have convex portions; however, Gergek discloses that it is old and well known in the art to Application/Control Number: 10/045,006 Page 6

Art Unit: 3724

use convex roller portions in a burster for the purpose of facilitating the movement of the separated piece. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use convex roller portions with the roller of Nagel et al. in order to facilitate the movement of the separated piece.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The prior art references are cited to show similar devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boyer D. Ashley whose telephone number is 571-272-4502. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Boyer D. Ashley J Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724

BDA January 21, 2005